

*the tell-tale*

**On the Subject of Twins**

Copyright © 2022 by *the tell-tale*

ISBN: Pending

Published by The Candid Scribe Pty Ltd

Category: Non-Fiction

## On the Subject of Twins

The reader is invited to imagine a situation where, -at the onset of a female's period of fertility, one single egg, -from each of her two ovaries, was discharged into its respective Fallopian tube.

If copulation then took place, and sperm was released, each of those 'little wrigglers' would find itself engaged in a desperate, uncompromising race to locate, -and to enter-into, one of those two eggs and, -in so doing, to fertilize the egg.

When a sperm enters an egg-sachet the egg-sachet instantly hardens, thereby preventing a second sperm from entering.

Only **one** sperm can fertilise one egg.

In this case of two eggs being discharged, only one sperm for each egg could succeed.

For every other little wriggler, the second prize is Death and Oblivion.

The result of such an intercourse would be 'identical twins', each sharing the same father.

If it happened however, -as is commonly the case, that, -at the start of ovulation,  
only one ovary discharged an egg into its tube,  
and if 'an encounter with a male' then took place and sperm was discharged  
then, -as before, only the first little wriggler to reach that solitary egg would succeed,  
and all of the other little wrigglers, -in the tubes and elsewhere, would perish.

If, immediately subsequent to this event, the other ovary then released an egg into its tube,  
and if 'another encounter' then took place, -but this time with a different male,  
then once again, only the very first sperm to reach and enter that second discharged egg  
would do its job, and fertilize the egg,  
-and all of the other little sperms would die, having failed to achieve their sole purpose in life.

**The result of this would be 'non-identical twins', each having a different father.**

This would be the case whether the female was chimpanzee, ape, human or any other primate.

In the case of humans, and on those relatively infrequent occasions  
when non-identical twins differ in the colour of their skin, or the colour of their hair,  
then the only way that this could have happened  
would have been under the circumstances described on the previous page.

Any claims that 'the difference in colour was due to a throw-back to an earlier relative'  
are totally false, and could never be the case.  
Such talk is merely an attempt to camouflage the truth, and to try to cover-up infidelity.

Only the genetic testing of human non-identical twins and their 'presumed' father,  
could reveal the truth,  
and it might-well produce an unexpected and unwanted truth.

It might show, for example, that-

**"Not only did the twins have different fathers,  
but that the lady's husband was not one of them!"**

It is now probable that many 'a loyal and faithful wife' will protest this writer's words.

What can the poor man say? -other than perhaps;-

*"Protest away dear lady. Protest away to your heart's content because,  
-whether you like it or not,  
the way that I've told it, is the way that it is!"*

Whilst many loyal and faithful wives may be not pleased with the writer's few previous words it is almost certain that they will disagree with the statements that follow.

*One*, 'That men, -with very few exceptions, are the most stupid and insensitive of creatures who live in a world of their own, -totally obsessed with what they call 'sport',  
-blinded by 'hero-worship' and 'completely oblivious of reality'.

and-*Two* That every woman with an out-of-control clitoris, -if she was honest with herself, would admit that 'when her stupid husband, or partner was away at work, or elsewhere, she would eagerly leap into the marital bed with another man should the opportunity arise,'  
-because the reality is that- *women are the slave of their clitoris*,  
-and especially-so during certain times of the reproductive cycle.

Of course, -to a woman, they will vehemently deny it.  
They will each display fabricated rage and indignation, and claim to have been offended.  
Doubtless, they will call this writer rude names and say bad things about him,  
but that will not detract from the fact that what has been written is the truth.

The fact is that far too many men are raising the offspring of another man  
and that is proof enough of these words.

But be not alarmed, it is just the way that life is, -nothing more, or less, than basic human nature.  
It would be almost certain that the absent husband has done the same thing with another woman,  
and that the woman's poor husband is also unaware that he too is raising another man's child.

It was, -at around three-thousand years ago, that a blind and very astute Grecian poet wrote-

*“ It is a wise child that knows its own father.”*

---

In the three-thousand years since, nothing, -it seems, has changed.

Islamists, -they say, think ‘that it has got something to do with the clitoris’,  
-and they fix the problem with something that they call ‘female genital modification’.

Clearly, the Islamists are much more aware, smarter, and more wise  
than their Jewish, Catholic, Hindu and other god-worshipping contemporaries.

( The writer being none of the above.)

The Islamists can see the virtue in early female genital modification,  
which puts a permanent end to the *out-of-control clitoris*  
something that would unquestionably save a lot of otherwise broken marriages,  
-as is the way of the western-world of today.

If one applies some considered and logical thought to the subject, one cannot escape the conclusion that women, **instead of whinging about female genital modification, should be demanding it!**

Female genital modification frees a woman from that uncontrollable urge to constantly fornicate.

**It gives back to them control of their body and their mind,**

and it does not detract from a woman's enjoyment of things that we are not allowed to write about,  
-lest these writings fall into the hands of children.

Once upon a time, -many years ago, 'a very wise and well-educated lady' pointed-out to the writer  
**'That girls, prior to losing their virginity, display a much higher level of intelligence than do their male counterparts',** -and this fact is beyond question, -ask any educator.

At the same time, this lady also explained to the writer that hidden away in a woman's vaginal passage is a tiny nerve that electrically connects the woman's clitoris to her brain and, when a penis first makes contact with the clitoris, it causes the nerve to switch off the lady's brain, and the evidence of this is all around you, where there used to be intelligent young ladies there are now only insular, low-brow, baby-making-machines, who rant and rail about 'mutilation'.

There are of course, exceptions to every rule.

**Early female genital modification results in women of high intellect and compassion.**

**Had this been the norm, this planet would unlikely be in the mess in which it finds itself today.**

**So join the push for compulsory early f-g-m ladies, you deserve it, and the planet needs it.**

**We can no longer trust these semi-intelligent, war-mongering, trouble-making American men and their servile, boot-licking British and Australian male puppets.**



Finally, and merely out of interest.

It is said, -by those who know, that, -in Australia, -in the year just ending,  
**the most performed surgical procedure was that of**  
**‘the trimming of the nympha’,**  
-the labia minora,  
-whatever that may be ?

According to those who fish, ‘the trimmings’ make excellent bait.  
The writer, -not being a fishing-person, is unable to substantiate this claim.

End of Story.

The writer considering it wiser to not invite donations.